## On the modelling principles of electrostatic solitary waves and shocks in non-Maxwellian plasmas: A survey of recent results

## I. Kourakis

Centre for Plasma Physics, Queen's University Belfast, Northern Ireland, UK

Space plasmas are often characterized by the presence of energetic particles, due to various electron acceleration mechanisms [1], leading to a power-law dependence at high (superthermal) velocity values. Various theories have been proposed to model this phenomenon; the most promising scenario seems to be the kappa-type (family of) distribution function(s), which reproduces observed data more efficiently that the standard Maxwell-Boltzmann approach [2].

Electrostatic Solitary Waves (ESWs) [3] and shock structures [4] are ubiquitous in Space observations, and also in the laboratory experiments on beam-plasma interactions [5]. It has been shown from first principles that excess electron superthermality may alter the dynamical properties of electrostatic nonlinear modes, and does in particular modify the propagation characteristics of solitary waves [6]. Recent studies have also indicated that the dynamical characteristics of expanding plasma fronts are affected by excess electron superthermality [7].

In this presentation I will review, from first principles, the effects of a non-Maxwellian electron distribution on the characteristics of electrostatic plasma modes. A kappa distribution function [1] is employed to model the deviation of a plasma component (e.g. electrons) from Maxwellian equilibrium. It will be shown that the excess in superthermal propulation modifies the charge screening mechanism, affecting the dispersion laws of both low-frequency (ion-acoustic) and high frequency (Langmuir) modes. Various experimental observations may thus be interpreted as manifestations of excess superthermality [2, 5]. Focusing on the features of nonlinear excitations (shocks, solitons), we investigate the role of superthermality in their propagation dynamics (existence laws, stability profile) and dynamical profile [6].

The relation to other nonthermal plasma theories [8] may also be briefly discussed.

[l] M. A. Hellberg et al, Phys. Plasm. 16, 094701 (2009).

- [2] G. Sarri et al, Phys. Plasm. 17, 010701 (2010).
- [3] R.E. Ergun et al., Geophys. Res. Lett. 25, 2041 (1998).
- [4] R.Z. Sagdeev and C.F. Kennel, Sc. Am. **106**, (1991).
- [5] H. Ahmed et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 205001 (2013).
- [6] I. Kourakis, S. Sultana and M.A. Hellberg, Plasma Phys. Cont. Fusion, 54, 124001 (2012).
- [7] I. S. Elkamash and I. Kourakis, Phys. Rev. E, 94, 053202 (2016).
- [8] G. Williams et al, Phys. Rev. E 88, 023103/1-6 (2013).